App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1929.
(Mucy rai')

File No. 18-29
Serial Nos. 1–8

Later References
Mucy 305-29-1-2
196-30-1-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 8

Part B for January 1930

SUBJECT

Review application from Archaka Sampathiah others re resumption of the Kayamgutta village Hardanahalli and S. Nos 40 + 41 of Marinaik village

Re 135 dated 3.1.1930

To the Mucy. Comm^r.

The petitioners are informed that there are no reasons to reconsider the order already passed

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(1929-30)

NOTES. File No. 18/29

FILE HEADING.

Assumption of management of Kaiyanigella Haradanahalli & S nos 2,6 & 41 of Marikere endowed to Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Marikere - in Holenarsipur taluk

FROM

The Mysore Commissioner in Mysore

No. 1758/28-29 Dated 5/6th May 1929 Received 8 May 29

Diary No. 27	Enclos. 2 pls Spare copies	Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

As the endows of the temples having grossly mismanaged the village & the Seva's not being properly done, the Dy Hassan has recommended the assumption of the management of the ~~two~~ village and also the two lands. The My Com^r supports the recommendation and solicits Sanc^n of Govt for introducing Survey & Settlement in the village, after etc.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

There is an Sri Venkataramana Swamy temple in Marikere village and are on the Hill near the ~~Kaiyanigella~~ village. The village of Haradanahalli is granted as Inam for the Seva of—

Serial No.

Venkataramana dwar & there is a rider saying the two temples are in good order and pooja performed twice a day. Further there is a note in the copy of ~~letter~~ Kaigampatha that karam is meant for the use of the temple on the hill & also of the temple of the same name in the village of Mannikere

~~The record~~ as regards S nos 40 & 41 of Mannikere village there ~~was~~ has been ~~no~~ a dispute, whether it was granted for the use of the temple in the village or in the hill. Both may come under the order, on the appeal brought against the order of Dy C, decides the point ~~that~~ in favour of the temple on the hill. ~~There has been no appeal kept against this order.~~

as the village is not properly managed & the Pujás are not carried on satisfactory, recommendation is made for assumption of management by the Govt. the S D O has held enquiry under Sec 8 (c) Mysore Regn (Old) & passed orders. the Mysore Govt supports Dy C's recommendations & requests for orders for taking over the management of Manus & orders for introducing Govt Settlement. in the village &c &c for orders

1877 5) As the interests of both the temples, it seems that the management of the properties may be taken by Govt & necessary further action be taken for various Settlement of Manu lands &c

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 18 | of 1929

Serial No.	
	Draft S.O for orders LWS 23/7 23/7
2	To The Survey Supdt No 175 of 25-7-29 Requests to send a copy of the settlement Regr for grant of A-400 for reference From
3	The Sur Supdt No 43 of 28/29 dt aug. 1929 ~~The~~ Forwards a copy of the Register as requested (1) The Inam Register shows that the Lands in Mannakki were confirmed as inam to the Temple on the Hill. The Village of Haradanahalli, is granted as Rayanigilla village for the benifit of the two Temples, one on the hill and another in mannakki. S.O. with refee to members minute in Para 4. LWS 18/9 1st Member) ~~May be sent a copy of~~ ~~the Regr and dropped~~ The M.C.S. May be Sanctioned 18/9

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192

Serial No.	
	To D.R.G. to submit AV 21.9.29.
4	To the Inam Commr & Comptr No 691-2 D/24-9-29 Sanctioning the Remission
5.	Inam, Sampathaiya and others temple Archaks, Review application D/ 11.11.28 Pray that on a re consideration of order 8 conveyed in Sl.4, the Inam village in question, and the 2.5 Rs may be restored to them, and pray for a Stay order pending disposal of their review-application. 12 The application was presented to the Member. Member's order:— "Records may be called for and case posted for hearing. No Stay order can be issued may be posted to an early date if possible, to 8th or 14th of next month when a number of Inam cases have to be heard" 3 A/ off Calling for the Records of the cases before the 25th inst. to submit. After issue, the Notices may be sent to the petrs' Advs to appear

376/792

Murder

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 18 of 1929

Serial No.	
	on the 8th prox., informing him that no Stay order can be issued as prayed for — Submit for orders AV 19-11-29. 14 Issue LWS 19/11 dt 19.11.29
6	To The Mag Coma No 8 dt 1-12-29 Requests him to return the entire file of records sent 15 Dft cont to the Apltt's Pdr informing him that no Stay order can be issued, and that he may appear on the 8th Dec for being heard, is Submit AV 22.11.29 16 Issue LWS 29/11/29
7	From, the Dist Try Officer in charge of Hassan Sub Divn, Letter no. C.I.C. 576 Dt 28.11.29. Submits the items Connected with the case — 1) The Ten items Connected with the case have been received and are placed on the file.

(No Bail (1929-30) Notices Issued)

Note—Confn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 14

Serial No.

18 Mrs petrs. pray for a re consideration of G.O. directing the resumption of the Inam village of Haradeka and the 2 inam lands endowed to Sri Venkatanarayana Swamy temple in the Manikarake H.B. The main ground is that the order of resumption has been passed without an opportunity to the them to make a representation. As ordered, the case has been posted for hearing, and notice has been issued to the petrs' Advt to appearance on the 9th inst. the file will be submitted along with other cases.

AR 6.12.29

20 Yes MM 6/12

LMS 6/12

21 case heard on 9.12.29.

Archakas Sampathaiya and others present and represented by Mr. H.S Vasudeva Rao Advt

22 A petition presented by Sampathaiya and Shamanna is placed in the file.

O R D E R.

23 It is clear from the records that the ~~manx~~ management by the Archaks of the inam village ~~x~~ of

Serial No.

Hardenhalli endowed to Sri Venkataramanaswami on th hillat Mavinkere, HoleNarsipur Taluk and of the Temple, has been unsatisfactory for a long time and they have also failed for a number of years to furnish accounts of the income received from the Inam lands of the temple and the expenditure incurred on the performance of sevas and services ~~in the latter~~ therein. The ~~attention~~ contention of the Archaks that their management of the lands and of the temple ~~have~~ has always been quite satisfactory, is untenabl All the authorities including the Muzrai Commissione consider that in the interests of the institution it is necessary that the Inam lands of the Temple shoul remain under Government management. The petitioner may be informed that there are no reasons to re-consider the orders already passed.

24 Sd/- 19/12

25 D/F. order submit
21.12.29 26 Sd/- 27/12

21/12

To the Muz Comr
No 1325 E 29. 27-12-29
State that the orders already passed

FINANCIAL SECTION
8 JULY 192
No.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, [illegible] July..... 192 .

6

No. 1758 Ex 27 file
289

FROM

T. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

8 July
D 27
18-29-1

To

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith [illegible] of Hassan [illegible] Deputy Commissioner's letter No.733-35 dated 24th April 1929 on the matter of taking over under Government management the [illegible] village of Hanadanahalli and S.Nos.40 & 41 of Mavinakere village endowed to Sri Venkatramanaswami temple on the Hill at Mavinakere in Hole-Narasipur Taluk and to state as follows.--

The quit-rent register extract in the enclosed file shows as under the head Inam Class of Hanadanahalli village endowed to the temple as under:-

Inculturable	578 a-16 g	9-0-0	Jodi.
Dry	238- 34	208-0-0	132-0-0
Wet	6- 18	22-0-0	Estimated
Garden	- 29	6-0-0	annual
	824- 19	242-0-0	yield Rs.230
No.40 dry	2-37	3-0-0	Jodi 0-0-0
dry	5-29	5-4-0	Quit rent
			Rs.100-

as some to be enjoyed by the Archaks of the Hill temple along with S.Nos.40 & 41 of Mavinakere village. The latter two S.Nos. are estimated to yield Rs.100/- annually. This income was allotted to the temple in the village while fixing a scale for the institution in 1915 but later, the Archaks of the Hill temple have waived the claim of their temple to these lands as decided in their favour. On the other hand, the income of the Kayamgutta village though entered for both the temples is being monopolised by the Archaks of the Hill temple. The Archaks who numbered fifteen were found to be enjoying the village and also retaining the whole income among themselves --

[illegible] expenditure not [illegible]
[illegible] November 191[illegible]
[illegible] introduction of Survey and Settlement to
[illegible] Secretary's letter No.[illegible]
[illegible] Survey and Settlement to the village
[illegible] Survey and Settlement to the village
[illegible] Survey and Settlement to the village

[illegible] Sub Division [illegible] Mavinkere village [illegible] Sy.Nos.40 and 41 of the Mavinkere village [illegible] Sy.Nos.40 and 41 of the Mavinkere village [illegible] Sy.Nos.40 and 41 of the

Appendix F G–1

SUBJECT..... ... ...

....

....

Section Inam

File No. 18-29

Serial No. 2

No. 175

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

..... The Sub Supt.....

Date of issue.....25-7-29.....

Sir

Signed by .. .. M. T. K. S. .....

I am directed to requ
you to send a copy of Inam
Settlement Regr, relating to the
Grants of S nos 404/41 of Mannike
Village in Hadakke Hobli in
Hole Narsipur Tk. as mi am to
Sir Venkataramanaswami
Sample in the place for reply a
an early date

List of enclosures.....

I am etc

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

Office of the Superintendent,
Mysore Revenue Survey,

Dated, Bangalore 28th August 1929.





To S. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Superintendent, Mysore Revenue Survey.

D 3764

S. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L., Inoz 18-29-2
Financial Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Sir,

As desired in your letter No.175/Inam.18-29-2 dated 24-7-1929, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Inam register pertaining to the grant of lands in Kavinkere village, Nolenarsipur Taluk, to the Sri Venkatramanaswami temple at the place.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

P. Annamacharlu
Assistant Superintendent,
for Superintendent.
AE absent from station.

Register sheet No. 2.

Taluk : Varasiporu

Hobli : Mavina kere

Village : Mavina kere

Register of Inams in the village of

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
		In S. Account of 1846		
		Survey Nos.	H. L. P.	
		90	0 - 6 - 0	
		91		
		92		
		93		
		94		
		95		
		96		
	Dewa dasya			

Sammat of in the Taluk of

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent *i. e.*, jodi, etc., amount latter to be entere
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9

Cancelled.
0-7-0
1-2-0
Rs 0-9-0
3 7-10

I to the Supplement of Survey Rates on Enamgrants Surveyed
Situated on the Hills of this Village. The Cocoanuts in good Order
and to enjoy Exemption every Year as per Annual Certificate

Rs as per
4: 14: 7
5: 12-10

Garden
0-0-0
Wet land
2-0-0
Non land.
Exempt the year 1843

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

of

AMI AND ...ELATION ...P OF ORIGINAL GRA... F AND...

Name of original gra...	Name entered at ... registered pared by Poorn ah up to 1810	Na... subseq... to 1...
12	13	14
		Deed of 1846 on Registration Fy L L P F V 1-6-0 1-2-0 poor 1-0-0 tree 2-0
		When and from whom granted not known

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the number has been subdivided the name of each share should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, etc	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained and in what year
15	16	17	
Kavirakone Venkatasamaswamy Nayanim Brothers			

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
Complete grant: H.S acct. of 1846 adopted the jodi as per acct. is Rs 2.14.7 and the amt now sued Rs 5-12-0. Pls accts to show wherein the excess Amount is jodi ~~Rs 2.14.7~~ ~~same as the amt in the~~ ~~Excess to that for jodi~~ ~~entered in the acct. so that~~ ~~Inam may be struck off~~ (Sd) 4.4.77 Kanday.am, but it is presumed to be Kandayam, as the amount is in excess of That of the Jodi entered in the account So, This Inam may be Struck off (Sd) D. Narayana Rao Asst 4.4.77.	1 Ist g. Dew L (whole grant Devadayam Jodi). 2 Conf? on the existing jodi precis 29. 68 or Rs 6/- enon (Sd) RH Cole 7.12.77 Supdt of Inam Settlements Compared GH 16.8.79 Copy Hanumanthappa for Superintendent of Revenue Survey

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....3/4/.....

General and revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192* .

Date of issue.....24/8/98.....

(Signed by.....L.K. Somanna.....

Yes

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section

File No.

Serial No

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Letter no 1758 dy 6/7 from the D C in Mysore reporting that the Kayamy Village of Haradanahalli, Sno. 40 and 41 of Manur Village are endowed to the Temples dedicated to Venkataramana Swamy one on the Hill and the other in Manimakere, Hole narasipura Taluk, that the Archaks are reported to be enjoying the Village and appropriating the whole income among

Order Thereon No.

any account, and that the
management is not satisfactory,
and recommending sanction
of Govt to the D C's proposal
to take under Govt management.
The whole village and the
other two Survs. Nos &c.
and to the introduction of
Survey and Settlement
to the village, and fixing
a Cess to meet the
Cost of Vishyakatle and
Heshuptle expenses in
both the temples, with the
recommendation being given for the Archaks for the services

List of enclosure.

Order 691-2 Fin. & two folios
& Map

The D-C's recommendation
is sanctioned.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 21/8

for Secy

WD 0326—GPB—12,500—26-5 27

for Mr. D.C.
Secy

18

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Letter No. 1758 dated 6th July 1929 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, reporting that the Kayamgutta village of Haradanahalli, and Survey Numbers 40 and 41 of Mavinakere village are endowed to two temples dedicated to Sri Venkataramanaswamy one on the Hill and the other in Mavinakere, Hole Narasipur Taluk, that the Archaks are reported to be enjoying the village and appropriating the whole income among themselves without maintaining any account, and that the management is not satisfactory, and recommending sanction of Government to the Deputy Commissioner's proposal to take under Government management, the whole village and the other two Survey Numbers referred to and to the introduction of Survey and Settlement to the village, and fixing a scale to meet the cost of Nityakatle and Hochukatle expenses in both the temple suitable remuneration being fixed for the Archaks for their services.

Order No. 691-2/Muz 18-29-4 Dept. to file. D.M.E.

Dated, Bangalore, the 23rd September 1929. 24th

The Muzrai Commissioner's recommendation is sanctioned.

for Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.



OFFICE OF THE
REGISTRAR OF COUNCIL
14 NOV. 1929
No. M 232.

GENL. & REV. SECTT.
15 NOV 1929
M-792

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF H.H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

BANGALORE.

Review Petition Mysore.

PETITIONERS. 1. Sambathaiya, age 28, son of Thimmappayya.) Archaks of Bettada Venkataramanaswami Temple Lavinakere Hole Narsipur Taluk.

2. Thimmappayya, 40. son of Hanumathayya.)

3. Shamanna, age 25. son of Tirumalayya.)

The petitioners humbly beg to state as follows:-

THE FACTS OF THE CASE.

That the petitioners are the Archaks of Bettada Venkataramanaswami Temple situated in Lavinakere, Hale-Kote Hobli, Hole Narsipur Taluk, and are preforming the duties regularly and are enjoying certain emoluments. The temple on the hill at Lavinakere has got Nayamgutta Haradanahalli, and S. Nos. 40 and 41 of Lavinakere as Inam lands attached to the temple.

That the Nayamgutta village yields about R. 625/- annually out of which the petitioners pay R. 144-0-0 to the Government and out of the balance they carry on the worship at the temple and the usual sevas. That the said village belongs to the temple on the hill and farther back than 1870 the Inam stands in the name of the said temple That in the year 1880, the patta has also been made in the name of the Deity of the Temple on the Hill. That for the last 7 or 8 years one Ananthabhatta the Archak of the Venkataramanaswami Temple in the village started proceedings claiming S. Nos. 40 and 41 Lavinakere Village as belonging

hir, and the order was set at rest by the order of the Appellate Commissioner, Muz. Appeal 50 of 24-25, in which that Honorable Court upheld the decision passed after remand by the Deputy Commissioner of Nassan in Muz. Appeal 54 of 24-25, who held that the lands belonged to the petitioners.

That the petitioners now learn that the said Arunachalla and some others of Mavinakere village who were in opposition have made a complaint to the Amildar of Hole-Narsipur, and that the Amildar on the strength of that complaint has addressed the Muz. Officer and then also the petitioners with the Government an order has been passed by this Honorable Court in G.O. No. 619-2, 18-29-4 dated 20/24-9-1929 directing that the Inam village of Varadanahalli and the Inam lands bearing S. Nos. 40 and 41 of Mavinakere village be taken over to the management of the Government. The said order was communicated to these petitioners on 6-11-1929 by the Amildar of Hole-Narsipur as per endorsement produced herewith.

That the said order of this Honorable Court has worked out great injustice to these petitioners, and these petitioners had absolutely no knowledge of these proceedings against them and therefore could not prove that they were innocent, and were not guilty of mismanagement, which is the reason for which the order is passed against them. The petitioners therefore humbly pray that the Government will be pleased to review the order passed on 20/24-9-1929, and that pending the disposal of this petition suitable affording an opportunity for them to prove the innocence as well as the order of resumption of the lands and the Inam village by the Government.

GROUNDS OF THE PETITION.

1. That the order of the Government directing the resumption of the lands to the Government without enquiry is not valid according to law.

2 That in passing the order the provisions of Section 8 and 9 of the Muz. Regulation which clearly lay down the procedure to be adopted in such cases is entirely ignored

3. That the allegations made against the petitioners are ~~ignored~~ no matter and the order directing resumption without any notice or enquiry has worked a great hardship on the petitione

4. That there is absolutely no truth in the charges made against them, and the petitioners deny that they ever mismanaged the properties.

5. That in any view of the case the order of resumption is absolutely unnecessary in the circumstances of the case.

14
11-11-29
Bangalore.

H. Narasimha Rao
Advocate for petitioners.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mwz.

File No. 10 - 29

Serial No. 15

No. 1046

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

.....The Dy. C. .....

Date of issue.....20-11-29.....

Signed by.....Mr. A. N. R.....

List of enclosures.....—.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to return herewith before the 25th inst, the entire file of records returned with G.O. no. 691-2/Mwz 18-9 dy 24.9.29 directing the Resumption of the Kayam-futta Village of Haradanerelli and S. nos 40 and 41 of Mannikere Village entered in the Inam Register

of Sri Venkataramana
Swamy are on the 11th
and the other in Channapura
Hole-nara Sprura Taluk
as they are required for
Ref. in connection with the
Review application of the
Kumble Archaks which
is posted for hearing
before Supt.

I have etc

Asst. Commr

Addl. Secy

Section Mis.

File No.

Serial No.

No. 1091

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

....

Date of issue 27-11-29

Signed by Mr. A. V. R Chg.

With ref to his Revision application dy 11th Novr 1929 presented on behalf of Sampathaiya and two others Archakas praying for a re-consideration of G.O. directing the resumption of the Kayam Sulla village of Haradanahalli and S.Nos. 40 and 41 of Manniakere, Holenarasipura Taluk, village endowed to the two temples of Sri Venkataramana Swamy Doe H.S. Vasudeva Rao

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

that no stay order can
be issued as prayed for
by him and that the case is posted
for hearing on the 9th Dec & 24
and that he may appear
that day at 12 noon.

B.O.

AS signing

Dtd) Lic Scots.

WW

GENL & REV
2
Q 866
MURRAY

Mexz 18-29-7.

మీ కుటుంబ సభ్యులందరూ బాగుండాలని కోరుకుంటూ
మీ మిత్రుడు ఎం. వి. ఎస్.

చిన్నతనంలో మా అమ్మమ్మ వాళ్ళ ఊరు వెళ్ళినప్పుడు ఈ పల్లెటూరి
చుట్టుపక్కల ప్రాంతాలు బాగా తిరిగేవాడిని.

చిన్నతనంలో మా అమ్మమ్మ వాళ్ళ ఊరు. మంచినీళ్ళకి బావి ప్రక్కన
కొబ్బరిచెట్లు ఉన్నాయి. దాని ప్రక్కనే చిన్న కాలువ. కొబ్బరి చెట్లన్నీ దట్టంగా
ఉండటం వల్ల ఎండ లోపలికి రాదు. అందువల్ల అక్కడ చల్లగా ఉండేది. ఆ
ప్రదేశం అంటే నాకు చాలా ఇష్టం. ఎప్పుడు వీలు దొరికినా అక్కడికి వెళ్ళి
కూర్చుని పుస్తకాలు చదువుకునేవాడిని. ఆ ప్రదేశంలో కూర్చుని చదువుకుంటే
ఎంతో ప్రశాంతంగా ఉండేది. ఆ ప్రదేశం అంటే నాకు చాలా ఇష్టం.
ఆ ప్రదేశానికి వెళ్ళడానికి మా ఇంటి నుండి 2 కి.మీ. దూరం నడవాలి.
మధ్యలో మంచినీళ్ళబావి వస్తుంది. అక్కడ 40-41 మెట్లు ఉంటాయి.
ఆ మెట్లు దిగి కొబ్బరి చెట్ల మధ్యలోకి వెళ్ళాలి. అక్కడ ఒక చిన్న
కాలువ ఉంటుంది. ఆ కాలువ ఒడ్డున కూర్చుని చదువుకోవడం చాలా
బాగుంటుంది. ఆ కాలువలో నీళ్ళు ఎప్పుడూ ప్రవహిస్తూ ఉంటాయి.
ఆ నీళ్ళలో కాళ్ళు పెట్టుకుని కూర్చుంటే ఎంతో హాయిగా ఉంటుంది.
ఆ ప్రదేశంలో ఎవరూ ఉండరు. ఎవరూ రారు. అందువల్ల నాకు
ఎంతో ప్రశాంతంగా ఉండేది. ఆ ప్రదేశం అంటే నాకు చాలా ఇష్టం.
ఆ ప్రదేశంలో కూర్చుని చదువుకుంటూ ఉంటే ఎంతో ఆనందంగా
ఉండేది. ఆ ప్రదేశం అంటే నాకు చాలా ఇష్టం.

+3 ಅಕ್ಷರ

ಶಿಲಾಶಾಸನ

ಸ್ವಸ್ತಿಶ್ರೀ ಅಂದಿನ ಶಾಲಿವಾಹನ ಶಕವರ್ಷ ೧೭೬೫ನೆಯ ವಿಭವ ಸಂವತ್ಸರದ ಮಾಘ ಶುದ್ಧ ಪೌರ್ಣಮಿ ಸೋಮವಾರ ಪುಷ್ಯ ನಕ್ಷತ್ರ ಶುಭಯೋಗ ಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜಾಧಿರಾಜ ರಾಜಮಾರ್ತಾಂಡ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜ ಒಡೆಯರವರು ಸಿಂಹಾಸನಾರೂಢರಾಗಿ ಪಟ್ಟಾಭಿಷೇಕ ಮಹೋತ್ಸವವನ್ನು ಸಂಭ್ರಮದಿಂದ ನೆರವೇರಿಸಿದ ಶುಭಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಆಜ್ಞಾನುಸಾರ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಪ್ರಧಾನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಾಗಿದ್ದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿ ಅಯ್ಯರ್ ಅವರು ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಕೆಲವು ಸುಧಾರಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತಂದರು. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದವು ಹೀಗಿವೆ:

೧೯೧೪ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲ್ಪನಿಕ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಪಡೆಯುವ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸಮಸ್ತ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉಚಿತ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಕೊಡಿಸಲು ಕಾನೂನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಲಾಯಿತು. ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಪಡೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವ ಹುಡುಗರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧೯೦೦-೦೧ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ೧೫೭೪೧ ಇದ್ದುದು ೧೯೧೩-೧೪ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ೬೦೮೮೧ಕ್ಕೆ ಏರಿತು. ಈ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹುಡುಗಿಯರ ಶಾಲೆಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೨೨ರಿಂದ ೧೭೪ಕ್ಕೆ ಏರಿತು. ಈ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹುಡುಗಿಯರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧೦೦೦ದಿಂದ ೧೨೦೦೦ಕ್ಕೆ ಏರಿತು. ಈ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹುಡುಗಿಯರ ಶಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಸುವ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕಿಯರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೪೦ರಿಂದ ೪೫೦ಕ್ಕೆ ಏರಿತು. ಈ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹುಡುಗಿಯರ ಶಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಸುವ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧೦೦ರಿಂದ ೧

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. of

Mysore Review ~~xxx~~ 13 1929-30.

Appellant. *Vs.* Respondent

~~xxxxxx~~ ~~xx~~ ~~xxxxxxx~~

Petitioners.- Archaka Sampathaiya and others.

Subject.

Review application for a reconsideration of Government order dated 24th September 1929 directing the resumption of the Kayemgrut village of Hardanahalli and Survey Nos. 40 and 42 of Kavinakere village endowed to Sri Venkataramanaswamy temple at Kavinakere, Holenarasipura Taluk.

The case was heard on 9th December 1929 the appellants being present in person and ~~respondents~~ represented by Mr. H.S. Vasudeva Rao, Advocate

---

O R D E R .

It is clear from the records that the management by the Archaka of the Inam village of Hardanahalli endowed to Sri Venkataramana Swami temple on the Hill at Kavinakere, Holenarasipur Taluk and of the temple, has been unsatisfactory for a long time and they have also failed for a number of years to furnish accounts of the income received from the Inam lands of the temple and of the expenditure incurred on the performance of poojas and services therein. The

abk—1,000—8-8-11

contention of the Arbhaks that their management of the lands and of the temple has always been quite satisfactory, is untenable. All the authorities including the Huzrai Commissioner consider that in the interests of the institution it is necessary that the Inam lands of the temple should remain under Government management. The petitioners may be informed that there are no reasons to reconsider the orders already passed.

---

ence No. 13207 MM, dated, Bangalore, the 27th December 1929.
16-29-8 3-Jan 1930

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Huzrai Commissioner in Mysore, with the records received with his letter No. 1758 dated 6th July 1929.

By order,

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Review
Mus Appeal No. 10 of 1929-30

Petrs.
Appellant. Vs.

Archak & Sampathaiga
and others.

Subject.

Review application for a
re consideration of G.O. d
21st Septr 1928 directing
the resumption of th
Kaychanahalla Village of
Hardanahalli and S. nos.
40 and 41 of Marvinakere
Village endowed to Sri
Venkataramana Swam
temple at Marvinak
Holenarasipura Taluk

The case was
heard on 5th Dec 19.

GPB—1,000—S-S-11

contention of the Archaks that their management of the lands and of the temple has always been quite satisfactory, is untenable. All the authorities including the Muzrai Commissioner consider that in the interests of the institution it is necessary that the Inam lands of the temple should remain under Government management. The petitioners may be informed that there are no reasons to reconsider the orders already passed.

Memo No. [illegible] dated, Bangalore, the 27th December 1929.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, with the records received with his letter No. 1758 dated 6th July 1929.

By order,

Secretary to Government,

Financial Department.

Before the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore.

Review
Inam Appeal No. 13 of 1919-20

Pryag.
Appellant. Vs. ~~Respondent~~

Achaya & Sampathaiga
and others.

Subject.

Review application for a re consideration of G.O. dt 21st Sept 1923 directing the resumption of the Kayamegutta village of Hardenahalli, and S. nos. 40 and 41 of Maruvakere village endowed to Sri Venkataramana Swamy temple at Maruvakere Holenarasipura Taluk.

The apl. being present
in person and represented by
M.r. H.S Vanderveen Roo, Adv.

Order

Copy Members minutes
in pages 6 & 7 of the
Office note

Memo

Copy to the M.C. with
the memo marked with his
Letter no 1758 dd 6.7.1929

B.O.

M/
28/12

Ds Scott